

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,212	2 01/25/2001		John F. Harris	•	4886	
7:	590	01/08/2002				
John F. Harris				EXAMINER		
1464 Ridgeview PLNW Albany, OR 97321				UPTON, CH	UPTON, CHRISTOPHER	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1724	8	
				DATE MAILED: 01/08/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



Applicant(s) Harris et 1 Application No. 769212

Office Action Summary	Examiner Upton Group Art Unit 1724
	1724
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-
Period for Reply	$\mathcal{L}$
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Status	•
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{10/30/b}{}$	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	
Disposition of Claims	,
Claim(s) 1-18	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) 5 - 11, 13 W14	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.
D Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s) 2-4 2/5-18	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	roquiomoni.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	
received in this national stage application from the Internation	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Other
Office A	Action Summary

Art Unit 1724

1. The substitute specification and claims filed on April 4, 2001 was not entered, as it was not accompanied by a marked up copy showing the additions and deletions and by a statement that the specification contains no new matter, according to 37 CFR 1.125. Also, the substitute specification incorporated improper amendments to the claims. Claims should be amended in accordance with 37 CFR 1.121, in the form of a clean copy and a marked up copy, with cancellations of claims stated and the numbering preserved in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126.

For these reasons, the proposed amendments filed with the response to the restriction requirement have also not been entered. It should also be noted that the restriction requirement and this office action are based on claims 1-18 as originally filed, not according to claims 1-16 filed with the substitute specification of April 4, 2001. The substitute specification and any amendments to the claims should be submitted in proper form in response to this office action.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the Description of the Prior Art, patent 5,575,925 is cited twice. It appears that one of the references should be to patent 5,372,714. The "References Cited" section should be deleted, as references cited are taken from the List of Prior Art Cited by Applicant and the Notice of References Cited. The abstract should be on a separate page, as should the claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit 1724

- 3. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 2-4, 15 and 16 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chinn et al.

Chinn et al discloses a filter enveloping a grate on a catch basin, as claimed.

6. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Forse.

Forse discloses a filter attached to a catch basin grate by straps, as claimed.

7. Claims 2-4 and 15-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The recitation of a catch basin filter in the form of a bag having a smaller opening than the grate for encircling and coupling the filter bag to the grate

Art Unit 1724

patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record. Since claim 16 is generic to claims 17 and 18, as originally filed, these claims have been recombined.

8. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecuting procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skillful preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Other references of interest include Schilling, Shyh, Isaacson and Arntyr.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Christopher Upton at telephone number (703) 308-3741.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON PRIMARY EXAMINER